Tenancy Strategy and Policy City Executive Board 12th September 2012) Appendix B Risk Register

No.	Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj	Gr s Ris	sk	Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Ne Ris	sk	Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid		Monitoring Effectivenes s		R	urrent isk		
	Risk Score Impact Score : 1 =Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastrophic Probability Score: 1 = Rare; 2 = Unlikely; 3 = Possible; 4 = Likely; 5 = Almost Certain														
741116	oct obrigani	I	P		Mitigating Control: Level of Effectiveness: (HML)	I	Р	Action: Action Owner: Mitigating Control: Control Owner:	Outcome required: Milestone Date:	Q 1 🕾 🗓 🙂	Q 2 3 3 3 5	Q 0 3 4 8 6 9 6 9 6		P	
1.	Failure to meet statutory deadline for adoption of Strategy and Policy`	3	3	Inability to meet statutory deadline	Ensure consultation draft approved by CEB in September, consultation deadlines met and final approval by CEB and Council in December 2012	2	2	Ensure deadlines met Executive Director	Report to CEB in September Consultation Sept and October 2012 CEB/Council in December 2012						
2.	Failure to consult effectively – specifically with Registered Providers and Tenants	4	3	Lack of consultation and targeting of consultation	Establish clear consultation plan specifically targeted at Registered Providers and Council Tenants	2	2	Ensure consultation plan implemented and deadline achieved Housing Strategy and Enabling Manager	Consultation achieved by 31 October 2012						
.3.	Negative public and stakeholder understanding / perceptions of tenancy strategy objectives.	3	3	Failure to communicate objectives and impacts of Tenancy Strategy in Oxford.	Establish clear communication strategy when it is adopted.	2	3	Publicise on website, in tenant's newsletters and other publications. Direct notification to Registered Providers in the City . Housing Strategy & Enabling Manager	Publicity and communications in place by March 2013. –						

This page is intentionally left blank